Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Corporate Director for Place To

Traffic & Parking Working Party & Cabinet Committee

On 4th January 2016

Report prepared by: Zulfiqar Ali- Group Manager- Traffic Management & Highway Network Agenda Item No.

Traffic & Parking Working Group recommendations Executive Councillor: Councillor Terry A Part 1 Public Agenda Item

1. Purpose of Report

1.1 To report back from meetings of the Traffic & Parking Working Group and seek approval for changes to the existing policies, processes and terms of the references.

2. Recommendation

That the Traffic & Parking Working Party and Cabinet Committee:

- a) Note the contents of the report;
- b) Consider and approve revised policies, processes and procedures as set out in Appendix 1 of the report;
- c) Recommends the Council approves the amended terms of reference for the Traffic & Parking Working Party and the delegation of functions to the Cabinet Committee, together with the new protocol for public speaking at the meetings of the Traffic & Parking Working Party, as attached at Appendix 2 of the report;
- d) Recommends that proviso (b) under Council Procedure Rule 37.2 should also apply to Working Parties; and
- e) Instruct Officers to follow the revised policies for all future consideration of traffic & parking requests.

3. Background

3.1 At its meeting on 26th September 2015, the Traffic & Parking Working Party agreed to set up a Working Group to review existing policies, processes and practices, to ensure all Members Requests and traffic/parking investigations are undertaken in an efficient and most cost effective manner delivering value for money.

3.2 The membership of the Working group comprised of Cllr Terry, Cllr Norman, Cllr Longley, Cllr J Garston, Cllr Cox, Cllr Courtenay, Cllr Van Looy and Cllr Callaghan. The Group has met twice since and there have been in-depth discussions of the existing policies, criterion, procedures, processes and functioning of the Traffic & Parking Working Party. Consideration has also been given to the statutory requirements, national good practice and the impact that the ever increasing workload has on limited staffing and budgetary resources.

4. Proposals

- 4.1 Following deliberations at the Working Group meetings, officers have produced a set of revised polices which are shown in Appendix 1 of the report for Members' consideration.
- 4.2 It is also recommended that the terms of reference of the Traffic & Parking Working Party and Cabinet be amend to reflect the proposals identified by the Working Group

5. Other Options

5.1 If the proposals contained in this report are not agreed then the existing policies and procedures will remain applicable.

6. Reasons for Recommendations

6.1 To enable the Traffic & Parking Working Party to work more efficiently and effectively to maximise benefits of limited resources to deal with its workload priorities and to ensure policies reflect local needs.

7. Corporate Implications

- 7.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities
- 7.1.1 The recommendation meets the objectives of the Local Transport and Implementation Plan and the Council's aims of being a Safe and Prosperous Southend.
- 7.2 Financial Implications
- 7.2.1 All schemes approved through the Working Party are funded through LTP and/or Council's own revenue budgets.
- 7.3 Legal Implications
- 7.3.1 Statutory processes are always followed as necessary before implementing any schemes.
- 7.4 People Implications
- 7.4.1 Every effort is made to undertake design and consultation works within the existing resources.
- 7.5 Property Implications
- 7.5.1 None.
- 7.6 Consultation
- 7.6.1 Statutory consultation is always undertaken as necessary before implementing any schemes.

- 7.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications
- 7.7.1 The objectives of improving safety take account of all users of the public highway including those disable and vulnerable.
- 7.8 Risk Assessment
- 7.8.1 None.
- 7.9 Value for Money
- 7.9.1 As part of individual scheme report, a value for money assessment will be undertaken to demonstrate efficient use of resources.
- 7.10 Community Safety Implications
- 7.10.1 The objectives of improving safety and accessibility take account of implications for community safety.
- 7.11 Environmental Impact
- 7.11.1 Improving quality of local environment is an integral part of traffic & parking policies and schemes' design.
- 8. Background Papers
- 8.1 None
- 9. Appendices
- 9.1 Appendix 1 Proposed policies, process and procedures for Traffic & parking investigations
- 9.2 Appendix 2 Proposed new Terms of Reference for the Traffic & Parking Working Party and delegations to the Cabinet Committee and the new protocol for public speaking at meetings of the Working Party

Appendix 1 Proposed policies, process and procedures for Traffic & Parking investigations

Subject	Proposed Changes/addition
Area/Policy	
Area (1) Terms of the references and role of Members	 In addition to the existing Terms of Reference, agree the following: All new Members of the Traffic & Parking Working Party must undergo relevant training prior to attending meetings. There will be one spokesperson representing each group of attendees. Each group is to be given maximum of three minutes. Only ward Members are to be given the right to speak, again for a maximum period of 3 minutes. Any Member of the Council can speak for 3 minutes if it is a Boroughwide or major issue. A summary of the objections is to be added to each report and a copy of objections made available to Members of the Working Party in Members room. Speaking rights are only for advertised TROs; Committee must give due regard to national and legislative requirements. Where departing from recommendation based on existing policies, Members must record their reasons for departure from policy. Decisions must be based on evidence, facts and statistics and cost & benefit analysis not the perceptions. All Members to be emailed the date of the meeting, referring to online availability of the agenda items and reports.
2) Parking in roads in the vicinity of schools	Ensuring the safety of children attending schools is a top priority. The Council is committed to meeting the national targets of reducing the number of child casualties and this is a key priority of the Council. One of the main ways in which the council can reduce the number of accidents involving children is to ensure that areas close to schools are kept clear of parked vehicles. This ensures that passing vehicles can see children wishing to cross the road. In this regard, the School 'keep clear' markings, or zigzags, provide a clear indication of where parking is banned outside schools during their hours of operation. Stopping is not allowed on zigzags, even to pick up or drop off children. The council will also ensure that these restrictions are vigorously enforced. In addition, the council will consider other measures to support safety and may:- 1) Parking controls and residents permit schemes can be introduced in single road or immediate area where school parking presents serous danger to children and parents. Such schemes are only to be implemented on an exceptional basis with the agreement of all ward councillors who will ascertain

Page 4 of 18

Report No:

- degree of support for such scheme that meets the policy thresholds for the Parking Management Schemes. The impact of any displaced parking in neighbouring roads will be a consideration for ward Members. Safety restrictions of this nature may also be developed if there are at least three personal injury accidents within the proximity of school caused by parked vehicles.
- 2) Provide other parking restrictions such as single or double yellow lines. However, the periods during which the two sets of restriction are in force may differ. Care must be taken to ensure that drivers comply with both sets of restrictions. To clarify the situation to motorists the Council will mark the additional restriction behind the zig zags and erect a waiting restriction time plate within the area designated with school keep clear markings. However, waiting restrictions do not prohibit dropping off or picking up passengers, loading, disabled drivers parking.
- 3) All keep clear markings outside of schools will operate during school opening and closing hours and beyond should this be justified by parking situation and to cater for additional activities outside school hours. the times of operations will be determined in consultation with the school.
- 4) The enforcement of keep clear markings will be relaxed during school holidays, subject to there being no events taking place at the school.
- 5) The council's overall approach is to encourage people to consider safe alternatives to the car for the journey to school or nursery. This is beneficial for the child's health and physical wellbeing as well as the local environment around nurseries and schools. It is also an effective means of reducing traffic volumes and tackling traffic congestion during peak periods. To this end, the council is working with schools to assist them develop their School Travel Plans.
- 6) People escorting their child into school or nursery must be encouraged to walk to school and if driving park their vehicles legally.
- 7) The Council will actively seek to introduce measures to physically deter driving to schools. In doing so, the Council will introduce experimental school time closure of roads, by TRO and placing electronic or manual bollards in roads and undertake parking enforcement;
- 8) Work with schools to delegate greater authority and place responsibility to deal with the issues around their school through greater engagement of parents, teachers and other staff.

- 9) The Council will deploy CCTv car to enforce zig zag markings and other restrictions based on priority.
- Consideration will be given to purchasing CCTV cameras to undertake remote enforcement during school opening and closing hours to deal with all contraventions including double parking.

3) Members Enquiries

Improvements in this regard are intended to enable a consistent approach to Members Requests, enabling efficiency in processing these against the set policies.

- 1) All Members requested to be submitted on standard form(to be made available online) providing details of the nature of the problem, what are the issues, what is being asked for, what are the likely effects, level of support and to what extent any proposals will displace traffic?
- 2) Any proposals in this regard should have at least two ward member's agreement.
- 3) All such requests are to be submitted at least eight weeks prior to the next available T & P meeting. This will allow officers to undertake necessary initial investigations to check compliance with the policies.
- 4) All requests are to be investigated on the basis of first come first served basis, unless there is justification agreed by the Portfolio holder.
- 5) Officers are to be given delegated authority to assess all Members Request for yellow lines, disabled bays and other minor traffic regulation requests against the set policy criterion. All minor schemes/requests that meet the policy criterion will be progressed through advertisement and implemented if there are no objections. If objected all such schemes are to be reported to the Committee.
- 6) Large schemes such as Residents Parking Schemes/ major traffic management schemes or projects of more than local relevance are to go to T & P for considerations.
- 7) The schemes that do not meet the set policy requirements, officers will inform appropriate ward councillors in writing, giving details of why it does not meet the criterion and where possible assist in providing details of other options that may be of assistance)(i.e. road safety education, training & promotion etc.).
- 8) A monthly list of requests received is to be produced for circulation to Members of the Traffic & Parking showing status.
- 9) All Members request that do not progress through the initial stage are to be reported as an information item to the T & P on six monthly basis.
- 10) All reports to show estimated cost of the scheme and an assessment of the benefits that it may result, demonstrate value for money and assist in prioritisation.

- 11) All Members requests and other items reported to T & P where not approved are not to be resubmitted/ reconsidered within two years unless on substantial safety grounds demonstrated through accident analysis.
- 12) All Members' requests agreed by the committee are to be added at the bottom of the work programme unless the committee agrees a different priority which needs to be recorded on decision.
- 13) All schemes on work programme are to be progressed with the impact on safety as a primary consideration to justify the use of the limited budgetary resources. Some schemes may slip from one financial year to another depending on the resources, both staffing and financial or may be delayed due to other high priority schemes agreed by the T & P.
- 14) Where departing from recommendation based on existing policies, Members to record their reasons for departure from the policy at the time of their decision.

4)Pedestrian Crossings(Zebra or signalled crossings)

Each request is to be examined on its individual merits. Many requests are not justified because of low levels of pedestrian movement.

The following factors are taken into consideration in assessing the need for a crossing.

The following must be met for the proposals to progress through delegated authority for officers to progress to initial design and advertising and implementing should there be no objections.-

- 1) the recorded personal injury accidents involving pedestrians (at least 3 in last three years).
- the volumes of vehicular and pedestrian traffic and the potential for conflict between pedestrians and vehicles, meets the national PV square criterion.

If none of the above criterion is met, the following must also be present:-

- Difficulty that pedestrians face from traffic speed and volumes. The length of time pedestrians have to wait before they can cross.
- Proximity of locations which attract pedestrian activity through the day, e.g. proximity to stations, schools, hospital and shops
- The age/vulnerability of the pedestrians

	It is recommended that all such requests are considered on a six monthly basis and a list is then drawn up in order of priority with the worst site(based on the above criterion) for pedestrians at the top of the list for assessment
5) Verge Hardening	 The following consideration need to apply:- Hardening will deliver significant safety benefits for road users as part of a package of measures. It is proposed that verge hardening is considered where:- 1) It has been requested by the emergency services or utility providers as there is evidence of emergency vehicles being obstructed? 2) Enforcement of the status quo would not resolve the problem amicably? 3) Enforcement of new parking restrictions cannot serve the desire objectives. 4) Is off street parking available or is it an option for resolving the problem? 5) Is there scope for creating additional parking capacity to ease existing parking pressure? 6) Is there evidence that such a scheme will be supported by most residents (consider applying same criterion as PMS)? 7) Agree no bollards are to be placed on verges, as new or replacement and all enforcement signs should be on existing street furniture nearby as appropriate to implement government's policy on de-clutter. 8) All verge hardening proposals must be supported by all ward councillors
6)Footway Parking	 Permitting of footway parking will not reduce footway to less than 1.8m (1.2 in isolated pinch points) and will be marked. Carriageway width is insufficient to allow parking fully in the carriageway while maintaining adequate running lane. Properties have limited or no off street parking. It has been requested by the emergency services or utility providers as there is evidence of emergency vehicles being obstructed Enforcement of new parking restrictions cannot serve the desired objectives (where justified). Is there scope for creating additional parking capacity to ease existing parking pressure? Agree no bollards are to be placed on footways, as new or replacement and all enforcement signs should be on existing street furniture nearby as appropriate. It is also proposed that Members suspend consideration of prohibiting footway parking until outcome of the Private Members Bill which is currently going through second reading at the Commons.

7) Parking Management Schemes

1. Principle

The introduction of parking management schemes, in not carefully thought through can lead to displacement of parking in the adjoining streets, increase unnecessary demand in these areas for extension of controls. It is important that in managing parking, we do not simply transfer the problems elsewhere. As such an areawide approach is suggested for dealing with parking problems in a holistic manner.

Where area wide parking management cannot be justified, unrestricted parking should be allowed where it does not:

- 1) Compromise road safety;
- 2) Cause an obstruction to traffic flow or access for emergency, service or public transport vehicles;
- Block pedestrian footpaths and footways (particularly where this would adversely affect disabled members of our community) or cycle lanes and paths;
- 4) Undermine policies or initiatives to encourage use of public transport or other alternatives to single occupancy car use; and
- 5) Prevent residents, who have no alternative off street parking, from parking on street.

2. Procedure for assessing and addressing parking issues in residential streets.

This remains the same in terms of the initial consultation being undertaken by ward councillors to establish level of support. Officers to assist Members in defining the extent of the area to be covered and drafting consultation leaflet. All questionnaires are to be returned to the Officers through post by the stakeholders. Officers will analyse the returns to assess compliance with the agreed policy requirements and report to T & P if policy thresholds are met. If unmet, all ward councillors will be informed of the outcome in accordance with the procedure set out in "Members Request" section.

Parking schemes should only be investigated after consideration has been given to changing any existing parking restrictions that are not needed for reasons of safety, to reduce congestion or to protect the residents from inappropriate parking. It is proposed to divide these in two types of schemes:

Type A

- These are areas or streets where existing parking restrictions are believed to be unduly restrictive on the residents of the area and the orders can be changed to be of greater benefit to the residents. This may include the introduction of residents' permits.
- For example, parking is restricted to two hours to allow access to local facilities but prevent all day parking.

However, no or little long stay parking is available for residents.

Type B

 Areas or streets where the demand for parking, by the residents and/or other visitors to the area, is greater than the number of potential spaces and restrictions are required to provide a better opportunity for residents to park within the area.

Criteria for residents parking schemes

Residents Parking permit schemes will only be considered where:

- 1) The assessment suggests that a residents parking permit scheme would help solve the identified problem/issue.
- 2) There is a clearly defined area with natural boundaries such as major highways or physical features serving easy access to other residential areas. It is recommended that a RPS area should at least have 8 streets unless there are natural boundaries that enable consideration of a smaller area with demonstrable evidence that there will be no impact on the adjoining streets by the displaced parking.
- 3) The roads within the defined area are adopted highway managed and maintained by the Council.
- 4) Over 40% of affected dwellings (households) in the affected area respond to the consultation and at least 70% of dwellings (households) responding to a consultation agree in principle to a residents parking permit scheme. A petition cannot be included for this purpose.
- 5) The identified parking issues are not simply related to normal school pick up and drop off times where there is a school in the vicinity of the clearly defined area (this is dealt with in section relating to schools).
- 6) Normally, 50% of dwellings have no off street parking i.e. a garage and/or driveway available for one or more vehicles.

If the location is likely to meet all above criteria 1 to 6, then residents will be consulted on the scheme options/design and:-

- The above consultation thresholds and the results of a consultation should be judged on an area rather than on a street-by-street basis. Historically, a street (or even part of a street) has been excluded from PMS proposals following active lobbying, only for local people to change their views once the rest of a zone has been implemented, mainly due to displaced parking.
- 2) Where representations are received after approval to implement a scheme, these will be considered during the six months review process after the zone has become operational. Again, any resulting changes will take full account of the results of the consultation process.
- 3) All new PMS will be reviewed by the Local Councillors and Officers at the end of 6 months of their operational date with

	a view to judging how this has worked for the local community, and subject to funding and the necessary approvals, to implement any changes as considered necessary. Any further changes will only be considered if there a material changes in local circumstances. 4) PMS would not be introduced where the majority of residents have off street parking or where there is sufficient on-street space to accommodate both residential and non-residential parking. 5) Generally schemes should not be introduced to manage parking in situations where the problem is linked to over demand from residents for on-street spaces. 6) No scheme is to be reconsidered for a period of at least 4 years unless:- • The scheme forms part of a wider integrated traffic/parking management scheme. • There are road safety problems demonstrated through accident analysis. • The parking impact from development in residential areas would be adverse.(Need to speak to Dean re parking policies) It is further proposed that no more than 3 Residents Parking Schemes are to be investigated per annum.
8)Junction Protection	 1) 10m* of yellow lines at junctions to improve safety, accessibility of the emergency vehicles and compliance with the Highways Code. 2) The function has already been delegated to officers by the T & P 3) Proposal – To extend this delegation to all junction protections based on officer professional judgement in terms of the length which may vary from location to location.*it may be practical to reduce the length at some junctions while increasing at particularly wide bell mouths. 4) Ward members to be informed in advance of implementation
9)Waiting Restrictions	 These will only be considered if one of the following criteria is met; Where a road safety problem has been identified by collision studies (3Pia in 3 years) and it is clear that an actual reduction in collisions may follow the introduction of such an Order. Where evidence of the obstruction of the highway or visibility at junctions occurs on a frequent and severe basis, causing particular difficulties for emergency service vehicles and/or public transport. Where commerce and industry are seriously affected by presence of parked vehicles. Where the installation of TROs is essential to provide maximum benefit from capital investment.

- 5) On strategic routes and major distributors appropriate waiting and loading restrictions can be used to ensure that adequate road space is available for moving traffic Waiting restrictions will not be provided for individual private accesses in isolation.
- 6) Cost of schemes and likely savings through accident reduction need to be part of priority consideration.

There are historic waiting restrictions which have been there for many years and need to be reviewed to assess their continued need at various locations. It is recommended that no more new restrictions are considered for a period of six months unless in exceptional and emergency situations pending review of the existing. The new ParkMap system will have the upto date details of all such restrictions once completed in January, providing an opportunity to do this.

10)Speed limits/Zones

Defer all such request pending the recommendations of the Scrutiny Panel which is due to report back to the Council early in financial year 2016/17.

11)Traffic Investigations and Areawide Traffic Calming/ Management

Assessment of each request is made against the key objectives of:

- a) Improving Road Safety
- b) Reducing Congestion
- c) Improving Accessibility
- d) Improving Air Quality

These key objectives form the basis of the Local Transport Plan. This formal approach is needed to ensure a fairer, comparative method of assessment, reducing subjectivity. Generally priority is given to introducing measures to resolve, or substantially reduce, traffic related problems in areas where such problems are significant. Measures that simply transfer problems from one location to another will not normally be progressed. The issue of Road Safety is paramount when investigating a scheme. Other issues including traffic speed and congestion, particularly around schools, are other important factors. Where parking is the main issue then the reasons as to why that parking is taking place should also be borne in mind, e.g. schools, commuter or shopping. The criteria for rating are as follows:

- Improving Road Safety casualty reduction the number of recorded injury accidents at the location in the last three years(at least three with treatable contributory factors).
- Traffic speed, volume and road geometry resulting in significant danger if school or other high pedestrian generating facility in the area
- Reducing Congestion reducing the adverse impact of traffic, encouraging walking, cycling and the greater use of public transport.
- Improving Accessibility access for emergency vehicles, refuse collection and access to individual properties.

	(Combined with Reducing Congestion these two items aid the Improvement in Air Quality
	5) Improve Economic Vitality – by managing traffic appropriately (e.g. limiting parking to short stay) local businesses can benefit from a higher turnover of customers. This may also be a solution to or consequence of Improving Accessibility
	Assessment in these criteria is to be rated high/medium/low/neutral/negative as to whether any measures have a positive or negative impact on the area. In those areas where traffic speed is an issue the sites will be included within the programme for the installation of the Council's Speed Indicator Devices (SIDs).
	On the basis of this assessment, one of three actions will be taken: 1. The problem is recorded but no further action at this time. 2. Further investigations are carried out to see if there are practical proposals that we can address. 3. The matter is included in the list of proposals for inclusion in our works programme and reported to T & P.
12)Speed Indicator	These are to be prioritised on the basis of :-
Devices(SIDs)	 Causalities over a three-year period, with emphasis being placed on the number of people Killed and Seriously Injured (KSI) where speed has been a contributory factor Review the collision details to assess the likelihood of the provision of speed enforcement actively addressing any collision pattern that may have formed. Review the speeds that vehicles are travelling along the road. To meet the criterion, the 85th percentile speed must exceed the speed limit by 10% plus 2mph. This threshold is set by the Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO). Finally a practical assessment to ascertain if it is physically possible to install a sign in the desired location.
13)Traffic Island and central reserves	Where a formal pedestrian crossing is not justified these can be installed. They assist pedestrians by letting them cross the road in two stages. The restriction to the use of this measure is the width of the carriageway. It must be at least 7.8m wide to allow for the island and two lanes of traffic.
14)Environmental Weight Restrictions	 These will be considered to overcome problems regarding the use of unsuitable roads by HGVs, provided: 1) A restricted area can be defined which does not transfer the problem from one area to another. 2) A suitable alternative route exists which does not create such a major increase in route mileage for operators such that their economic viability would be seriously affected 3) does not result in increased highway maintenance costs

	4) does not increase safety issues.5) is supported by the Police (who are responsible for enforcement activity)
	All Freight routes are to be designated under LTP and signed accordingly. Any further applications to be delegated to officers.
	One –way systems should be considered where there evidence of
15)One Way Systems	the presence of the following factors:-
	The sufficient availability of the available road width" (the width of road remaining once parking has been subtracted-
	 Environment Type (i.e. school, residential or business, as part of Safer Routes to Schools or introduced as part of areawide traffic management/calming measures),
	3) Accident History (for latest three-year period)
	4) Whether there is evidence of the road being used as a rat-run. In dense urban areas one way street may be considered where significant improvements can be achieved in safety or capacity, without creating safety or access problems.
	There are to be at least two suitable streets to create complementary flows.
	7) One way streets will not be considered in any areas where:-
	i) An increase in traffic speeds may generate collisions ii) Significant access difficulties would be created
	iii) Transferred traffic would create problems elsewhere on the network.

2.6 Delegation of Executive Functions

(b) Cabinet Committee

There shall be a Cabinet Committee consisting of 3 Executive Councillors appointed by the Leader to carry out the following executive functions in respect of traffic regulation Orders and to consider the comments and recommendations made by the Traffic & Parking Working Party on the highways issues referred to it by the Corporate Director for Place:

- In cases where the Cabinet has not already resolved to publish a proposed traffic regulation Order¹, then the Cabinet Committee will consider an Officer report together with any recommendations of the Traffic & Parking Working Party on the subject and make a decision on whether to publish a proposed Order. This decision will be published in a Digest and will be available for call-in.
- If such a proposal is published on the authority of the Cabinet Committee and no objections are received, then the Cabinet Committee may proceed to make the Order (unless the Committee has delegated the function to the Corporate Director for Place).
- If objections are received to any proposed traffic regulation Order, then the Traffic & Parking Working Party (whose membership shall include the 3 Executive Councillors who sit on the Cabinet Committee) will meet to consider those objections, and also to hear oral representations by objectors and supporters (if any)².
- After considering all the representations, the Traffic and Parking Working Party will
 make a recommendation to the Cabinet Committee on the matter.
- The Cabinet Committee will immediately consider the Traffic & Parking Working
 Party's recommendation and to decide whether to authorise the Corporate Director
 for Place to make the Order (with or without modification) or to decide that the
 Order be not made. This decision will be published in a Digest and will be available
 for call-in.

Substitutes

Permitted in accordance with Standing Order 31.7

Quorum

The quorum of the Cabinet Committee shall be 2

Page 15 of 18

Report No:

¹ When the Cabinet approves a highway project or scheme, it may also authorise the publication of proposed traffic regulation orders.

² See Section 6D of Part 4(a) of the Council's Constitution regarding public speaking on traffic regulation orders

2.7 Status of Meetings

Open to the public

2.8 Reports To

The Council

Page 16 of 18

Report No:

3.6 Traffic and Parking Working Party

3.6.1 Membership

8 Members of the Council³, comprising the 3 Executive Councillors who sit on the Cabinet Committee (one of whom shall be appointed Chairman) and 5 non-executive Councillors

Substitutes: Permitted in accordance with Standing Order 31

Proportionality: By convention political proportionality shall apply to the 5 non-executive Councillors

3.6.2 Quorum

3 (including at least 2 of the Executive Councillors)

3.6.3 Terms of Reference

- (a) To consider written objections and also to hear oral representations by objectors and supporters (if any) to proposed Traffic Regulation Orders and to make a recommendation to the Cabinet Committee on such proposed Orders (see Section 6D of Part 4(a) of the Council's Constitution regarding public speaking on traffic regulation orders.)
- (b) To consider and comment on the details of traffic, transportation and highway issues, schemes, projects and requests for traffic regulation orders referred to the Working Party by the Council, Cabinet or the Corporate Director for Place and make appropriate recommendations to the Cabinet Committee on those schemes and requests.

3.6.4 Status of Meetings

Open to the public

3.6.5 Reports to

The Cabinet

³ **NOTE:** No Member shall sit on the Traffic& Parking Working Party (whether for the first time or returning to the Working Party after a period of absence), including as a substitute Member, without having first attended a training session on the principles of traffic regulation orders.

6D. Public Participation in Respect of Traffic Regulation Orders⁴

- (a) Where objections have been received to an advertised traffic regulation order and are being considered by the Traffic & Parking Working Party, an objector⁵ may address the Working Party in person in respect of that traffic regulation order. He or she must give written notice of that request by 12.00 noon on the last working day before the relevant meeting of the Working Party.
- (b) A supporter will only be allowed to address the relevant meeting if an objector is being given the opportunity to do so.
- (c) Where more than one person wants to speak for or against a traffic regulation order, then a spokesperson must be appointed. Where a spokesperson cannot be agreed, then the Chairman will decide who shall speak.
- (d) Speakers will be limited to a maximum period of three minutes and only one speaker for and one speaker against the proposed traffic regulation order will be permitted to address the meeting.
- (e) Speakers will not be allowed to ask a supplementary question or make a supplementary statement and will not be cross-examined. The Chairman may however, seek clarification of any points made by any speaker.
- (f) The use of visual aids will not be permitted and copies of speakers' comments, additional written information cannot be circulated at the meeting.

-

⁴ **NOTE:** This section relates only to those objections to traffic regulation orders which are not regulated under the requirements of section 10 of The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England) Regulations 2012.

⁵ The requirements of Council Procedure Rule 37 shall apply to any Councillor who is not a member of the Traffic & Parking Working Party and wishes to speak in respect of a traffic regulation order.